

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STAPES PEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Virademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/411,171	10/01/1999	KHURSHED MAZHAR	MS1-1583US	7939	
22801 7	590 05/04/2006		EXAMINER		
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			NGUYEN, CAO H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173	2173	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination				
	09/411,171	MAZHAR ET AL.			
		Art Unit			
	Cao (Kevin) Nguyen	2173			
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC					

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	view filed <u>February 16, 2</u>	<u>0006</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper at reason(s): 	nd a conference will not	be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pr ☐ Other:	eview is appropriate.	l Brief Request.
The time period for filing a response continues to run freshe mail date of the last Office communication, if no No		
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upof the notice of appeal, as applicable.	nere is at least one actual in 37 CFR 41.37. The time cision, or the balance of ever is greater. Further, t	al issue for appeal. Applicant be period for filing an appeal the two-month time period the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 22-42(however, 102 rejection was Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rerapplicant at this time.		
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a		hdrawn and a new Office
All participants:		Gustine Vincaid
(1) Cao(Kevin) Nguyen.	(3)Kristine Kincaid.	KRISTINE KINGAID SUPERVISORY PAVENT KUAMINER
(2) <u>Joe Dixon</u> .	(4)	TECHNOLOGY CLIVTER 2100